

ESTR3106 Cheatsheet

0. Scope 与做题顺序

不考推理: denotational / axiomatic semantics; natural deduction rules. Toy language of the syntax / inference rules 会给, 不用背全规则。

做题先后: syntax valid? -> value/final? -> 有 small-step rule? -> 无规则且非 value = stuck; 一直可 step 不停 = diverge; 同一配置多于一个 next step = nondeterministic.

高频题型	一句话模板
type safe	well-typed programs do not get stuck = progress + preservation
not type safe	找 well-typed 但 stuck 的反例
typing derivation	按规则自底向上堆树; lambda 无标注时可选项能推导成立的参数类型
small-step	一次只走一步; 注意 evaluation order 和 side effects
Prolog	facts + rules + query; unification + DFS backtracking

1. Operational Semantics

概念	速记
small-step	$(e, s) \rightarrow (e', s')$, 描述一步执行
big-step	$(e, s) \Rightarrow (v, s')$, 直接到最终值; 普通 big-step 难区分 stuck/diverge
value	已完成的表达式; Simpl 中 skip 也可视为 value/final
stuck	非 value 且没有规则可用, 如 type error / missing case
diverge	一直有下一步但永远不终止, 如 while true do skip, Ω
deterministic	每个配置至多一个 next step

求值顺序有副作用会影响 store: $(x:=3; !x) + (x:=4; !x)$ 值都是 7; left-to-right 最终 $x=4$, right-to-left 最终 $x=3$ 。
Short-circuit: true or e -> true, false and e -> false, 右边不执行, 右边副作用不发生。

语法糖/desugaring 不是运行一步: $e1 - e2 \equiv e1 + (-1 * e2)$; not e \equiv if e then false else true; e1 or e2 \equiv if e1 then true else e2; e1 and e2 \equiv if e1 then e2 else false。

2. Types 与 Type Safety

记号	意义
$\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$	在上下文 Γ 下, e 有类型 τ
$\Gamma, x:\tau_1$	在检查函数体时把参数 x 加入 context; 不是多态
$\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$	函数类型: 参数 τ_1 , 返回 τ_2
unit	只关心副作用的类型; skip : unit
type checking	给定标注类型后检查
type inference	自动找 τ 使 $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$

Type safe = Progress + Preservation:

性质	标准句
Progress	If $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$, then e is a value or exists e' such that $e \rightarrow e'$.
Preservation	If $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ and $e \rightarrow e'$, then $\Gamma \vdash e' : \tau$.
结论	well-typed programs do not get stuck

证明模板: Progress 用 induction on typing derivation $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$; 每个 typing rule case, 用 IH 证明子表达式 value 或可 step, Preservation 用 induction on $e \rightarrow e'$ 或 typing derivation; 每个 evaluation rule case, 证明 step 后类型不变。

设计类型系统: 太强也可 type safe 但无用, 例如只给整数 typing rule, 则 preservation vacuous, progress trivial, 但拒绝 $1 * 2 / \lambda x.x$ 等安全程序。

Store 类型安全: store = location -> value; location 是可读写位置; aliasing = 多个变量/引用指向同一 location。带 store 时需保证 typing context 与 store 一致: $\Sigma \vdash s / \text{store typing}$ 。

3. Induction / Substitution / Lambda

IH = induction hypothesis. 结构归纳/结构语法子结构: 推导图归纳树最后一条 rule 分 case。常见句: Proof by induction on the derivation of $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$ 。

概念	速记
binder/scope	$\lambda x.e / [x]e$ 绑定 x; scope 尽量向右延伸
free variable	没被当前 binder 绑定的变量出现
α -equivalence	只改 bound variable 名字: $\lambda x.x \equiv \lambda y.y$
β -reduction	$(\lambda x.e1) e2 \rightarrow e1[e2/x]$
capture-avoiding substitution	避免把自由变量替换成被内层 binder 捕获; 必要时先 alpha-renaming

CBV/CBN/lazy: CBV 先把参数求成 value; CBN 直接代入, 用到再算; lazy/call-by-need 用到才算且缓存一次, $(\lambda x.1) \Omega$ / CBN/lazy 得 1, CBV/lazy 得 Ω 而 diverge。
 $\Omega = (\lambda x.x x)(\lambda x.x x)$, 一步步回到自身, 无 normal form。

Y combinator: $Y = \lambda f. (\lambda x.f(x x))(\lambda x.f(x x))$, 性质 $Y f \approx f(Y f)$ 。CBV 下普通 Y 会先展开 A A, 还没进入函数体 base case 就 diverge。

Z combinator: $Z = \lambda f. (\lambda x.f(\lambda y.x x y))(\lambda x.f(\lambda y.x x y))$, 额外 λy 把 self-application 延迟, 适合 CBV。Typed Py / simply-typed 系统通常不能给 $x(x)$ 类型, 因为要求 $\alpha = \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ 。

Church numeral: $0 = \lambda f.\lambda x.x$, $1 = \lambda f.\lambda x.f x$, $2 = \lambda f.\lambda x.f(f x)$, 加法: $\text{Add} \lambda m.\lambda f.\lambda x.m f (m f x)$, 先做 m 次, 再做 n 次。

Church-Rosser / confluence: 不同 reduction 路径可汇合; 若 normal form 存在, 则唯一到 alpha-renaming。

Curry-Howard: 命题即类型, 证明即程序; 构造出某类型的程序就像证明对应命题。

4. Polymorphism / Subtyping / Data

概念	速记
parametric polymorphism	同一代码对任意类型统一工作: 'a -> 'a
ad-hoc polymorphism	同名按类型/arity 实现, 如 overload, tree/1 与 tree/2
subtype polymorphism	subtype 可用在需要 supertype 的地方
product type	$\tau_1 * \tau_2$, pair/tuple

概念	速记
sum / ADT	一个值属于多个 variant 之一, 可携带不同数据
nominal typing	看显式类型名/类名
structural typing	看字段/方法结构是否匹配

Subtyping 核心: $\tau <: \tau'$ 表示 τ 的值可安全当作 τ' 用。Record: 字段更多的 record $<:$ 字段更少的 record。Reference subtyping 通常 invariant, 否则读写会不安全。Function subtyping 正确规则: 参数反变, 返回协变。

$\tau_1' <: \tau_1$	$\tau_2 <: \tau_2'$

$\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 <: \tau_1' \rightarrow \tau_2'$	

记忆: 需要 Dog -> int 时可给 Animal -> int, 因为能处理所有 Animal 的函数也能处理 Dog, 错误的参数协变会 unsound。

Practice 4 反例: 若 zero <: int 且错误地参数协变, 则 $(\lambda f.f 1) (\lambda x.x)$ 可被推出 type zero, 但实际 evaluates to 1。

5. Practice Patterns

Py / Typed Py:

表达式	动态行为	静态类型
$(\lambda x.1+x)(1)$	1+1 stuck	not well-typed
$(\lambda x.2)(1)$	2	well-typed, type int; 可令 x:string
$(\lambda x.x(x))$ $(\lambda x.x(x))$	diverge	not well-typed: self-application
$(\lambda x.x)((\lambda x.x)1)$	1	well-typed, int
$((\lambda x.x)(\lambda x.x))1$	1	well-typed; 不是同一个 x 自我应用

Ap pair language: untyped all 不安全, 反例 $1 + (2, 3)$ well-typed but stuck, 安全设计: int; pair type $\tau_1 * \tau_2$; +, -, * 两边同型 τ , 结果 τ 。
Week 13 process language: composition | right-associative; [x]e binds x, scope 尽量向右; <e> send, [x]e receive; z :: zs 是非空 list, head z:int, tail zs:int list。(skip|stop, []) 不是 always stuck, 因为 nondeterminism 可到 stop 或 skip。

6. Prolog

语法/机制	速记
fact	parent(alice,bob).
rule	H :- B. 读作 H if B; ; 是 and
query	?- p(X). 大写开头是变量
identity	predicate = name/arity, 如 tree/1
execution	从左到右, 从上到下, DFS + backtracking
unification	X = 2+3 绑定结构, 不计算
arithmetic	X is 2+3 先算右侧, 得 X=5
failure	integer(hello). fail, 通常不是 type error
cut	! 承诺当前分支, 阻止回溯到后续规则

Binary tree / max:

```
tree(X) :- integer(X), tree(R).
tree((L,R)) :- tree(L), tree(R).
max_tree(X,X) :- integer(X).
max_tree((L,R),M) :-
    max_tree(L,ML), max_tree(R,MR), max2(ML,MR,M).
max2(A,B,B) :- A < B.
max2(A,B,A) :- B < A.
max2(A,A,A).
```

注意 (L,R) 是 compound term, 不是 list [L,R], 也不是给 tree 两个参数。

7. Language Comparison

Language	考试速记
OCaml	static + type inference + type safe; compiled successfully => 通常无 static/runtime typing errors; = equality, := 写 ref, ! 读 ref; not pure, 有 mutation/I/O
Python	dynamic typing; 可编译; 运行到坏表达式才 TypeError; objects + first-class functions
C++	static; compiled successfully => 无普通 static type error; pointers/casts/UB 使其不 fully type safe; 有 objects/function pointers
Java	static; mostly type safe; casts 可能 runtime classCastException
Prolog	logic programming; facts/rules/query; unification/backtracking; 无普通静态类型检查, 很多 mismatch 是 fail

常见判断: statically typed = C++ / OCaml / Java; possible compiler != statically typed; pure functional = none; has objects = C++ / Python / OCaml / Java; pattern matching = OCaml / Prolog。

8. ESTR Practice Exams 1-4 极简答题

Exam 1: Booli + OCaml

Booli syntax: stmt ::= stmt;stmt | if(bexp)stmt | x:=bexp | skip; bexp ::= true|false|!x|bexp or bexp.

Q	题目要点	答案
Q1a syntax	判断 5 个 string 是否是 Booli stmt	x:=true and... no; skip;skip yes; true or true or true no, 只是 bexp; if(true x:=true>false no; x:=true; x:=x or x no, 需 !x
Q1b(i)	semantics deterministic?	nondeterministic: or 可先左/右 step; 例 x:=!x or !y 可到 x:=false or !y 或 x:=!x or true

Q	题目要点	答案
Q1b(ii)	证明 if(x) x:=false well-typed under x:bool ref	x:bool ref ⊢ x:bool ; false:bool ; x:=false:unit ; 用 IH 得整体 unit
Q1b(iii)	Bool- type safe?	yes, 证明 progress + preservation; skip final; seq/for 用 IH; 无 assignment 所以 store 基本不变
Q2	OCaml library compiled 后会有什么 typing error?	通常 no static typing errors; OCaml type safe, 所以也不能得 runtime typing errors; 仍可能有 non-typing exception / logic bug / nontermination

Seq progress 模板: 若 stmt1=skip 用 Seq-skip; 否则由 IH 得 stmt1->stmt1', 再推出 stmt1;stmt2 -> stmt1';stmt2. Seq preservation: inversion 得两边 unit, IH 保 stmt1' : unit, 再用 TSeq.

Exam 2: Py / Typed Py + Pointer + Church

Py value: string/int/lambda; CBV. Typed Py: 简单静态类型, 不支持递归类型.

Q	表达式/任务	答案
Q1a E1	(λx.1+x)("1")	steps to "1+1" then stuck
Q1a E2	(λx.2)("1")	evaluates to 2
Q1a E3	(λx.x(x))(λx.x(x))	diverges
Q1a E4	(λx.x)(λx.x(1))	evaluates to 1
Q1b	static typing	E1 no; E2 yes int; 可令 x:string; E3 no, x(x) requires α=α→β; E4 yes int
Q1c	OCaml AST	string of string Int of int var of string Plus of ast*ast Lambda of string*ast App of ast*ast
Q1d	Z combinator in Typed Py?	No; 含 x(x), simply-typed system 无法有限类型; Python 能是 dynamic typing
Q2	C++ pointer	int* x=&y; z=&x prints 2; *x=1; cout<<y prints 1, aliasing
Q3	mystery=λn.λm.λf.λx.n f (m f x)	mystery 1 2 -> λf.λx.f(f f x) = 3; 功能是 Church addition

Z/Python 考试问: Python checks x(x) only at runtime; Typed Py must type-check the expression before running and rejects self-application.

Exam 3: Ap Type Safety + Prolog

Ap: e ::= z | e1 op e2, op=+|-|*|, ; builds pairs, 运算对同形 pair elementwise.

Q	题目要点	答案
Q1a	Charlie untyped a11 是否 type safe	No; 反例 1 + (2,3) well-typed as a11 but stuck
Q1b	设计安全 type system	τ ::= int τ1*τ2 ; z:int ; ; 得 product; +,-,* 要求两边同 τ, 结果 τ
Q1c	证明 type safe	progress + preservation by induction on typing derivation / step; pair case 用 IH; op case 两边同型, value 时有对应计算规则
Q2a	Prolog tree/1	tree(X):-integer(X). tree((L,R)):-tree(L),tree(R).
Q2b	Prolog max	leaf max 自己; pair 递归 ML/MR 再 max2
Q2c	tree/1 与 tree/2 polymorphism	ad-hoc polymorphism / overloading; 同名不同 arity

max2 :

```
max2(A,B):- A < B.
max2(A,B,A):- B < A.
max2(A,A,A).
```

Exam 4: Mul + Subtyping + Language Compare

Mul: e ::= z | x | e1*e2 | λx.e | e1 e2, values z | λx.e.

Q	题目要点	答案
Q1a	grammar ambiguous?	yes; 如 1*2*3 可左/右结合; x y z 也可多种 parse
Q1b	given e -> e' 是 small/big?	small-step, 因为只走一步
Q1c(i)	最少 typable 且 preservation	只给 (Int) Γ;z:int; 不 typing var/lambda/app/mul
Q1c(ii)	type safe?	yes; well-typed 只有 integers, 已经 value; progress 成立, preservation vacuous
Q1c(iii)	实用吗	no; 拒绝 1*2, λx.x 等安全程序
Q1d(i)	给定函数 subtyping 参数方向	covariant in parameter; 但正确规则则 contravariant
Q1d(ii)	找 type zero 但 evaluates to 1	(λf. f 1) (λx. x)
Q2a	语言性质	static: C++/OCaml; can compile: all three; pure functional: none; objects: C++/Python/OCaml; function pointers: C++
Q2b	哪段能 compile/run	only Python: x=1; x="hello"; C++ int x; x="hello" no; OCaml x=int ref with string 比较 no

Subtyping 反例推导: λx.x : zero->zero; 因错误 covariance 且 zero < int, 得 zero->zero < int->zero, 所以 λx.x : int->zero; 于是 (λf. f 1) (λx.x) : zero, 但运行到 1.

9. Progress + Preservation 答题模板

In this exercise, you will consider the following small-step semantics and type system for the RPN language:

Semantics

$$(PLUS-1) \frac{e_1 \rightarrow e'_1}{e_1 e_2 \rightarrow e'_1 e_2}$$

$$(PLUS-2) \frac{e_2 \rightarrow e'_2}{e_1 e_2 \rightarrow e_1 e'_2}$$

$$(PLUS-INT) z_1 z_2 \rightarrow z \text{ if } z = z_1 + z_2$$

$$(PLUS-FLOAT) f_1 f_2 \rightarrow f \text{ if } f = f_1 + f_2$$

$$(TIMES-1) \frac{e_1 \rightarrow e'_1}{e_1 e_2 \rightarrow e'_1 e_2}$$

$$(TIMES-2) \frac{e_2 \rightarrow e'_2}{e_1 e_2 \rightarrow e_1 e'_2}$$

$$(TIMES-INT) z_1 z_2 * \rightarrow z \text{ if } z = z_1 \times z_2$$

$$(TIMES-FLOAT) f_1 f_2 * \rightarrow f \text{ if } f = f_1 \times f_2$$

Types

τ ::= int | float | string

Typing Rules

$$(T-INT) \vdash z : \text{int} \quad (T-FLOAT) \vdash f : \text{float}$$

$$(T-PLUS) \frac{\vdash e_1 : \tau \quad \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau} \quad (T-TIMES) \frac{\vdash e_1 : \tau \quad \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\vdash e_1 e_2 * : \tau}$$

Does the type system above make RPN type-safe? If so, prove it. If not, give a counterexample to type safety.

Solution: Yes, the type system above make RPN type-safe.

Proof: to prove type-safety, just prove progress and preservation.

① The RPN language has the preservation property.

Proof. By induction on the derivation of $\vdash e : \tau$.

- Case (T-INT), Case (T-FLOAT): e is an integer z or float f . It is a value and cannot step, so the property vacuously holds.
- Case (T-PLUS): $e = e_1 e_2 +$ for some e_1, e_2, τ with $\vdash e_1 : \tau$ and $\vdash e_2 : \tau$. By case analysis on $e \rightarrow e'$:
 - Case (PLUS-1): $e' = e'_1 e_2 +$ and $e_1 \rightarrow e'_1$. By IH, $\vdash e'_1 : \tau$. By (T-PLUS), $\vdash e'_1 e_2 + : \tau$.
 - Case (PLUS-2): $e' = e_1 e'_2 +$ and $e_2 \rightarrow e'_2$. By IH, $\vdash e'_2 : \tau$. By (T-PLUS), $\vdash e_1 e'_2 + : \tau$.
 - Case (PLUS-INT): $e_1 = z_1, e_2 = z_2$, and $e' = z$. We already know $\tau = \text{int}$. By (T-INT), $\vdash z : \text{int}$.
 - Case (PLUS-FLOAT): $e_1 = f_1, e_2 = f_2$, and $e' = f$. We already know $\tau = \text{float}$. By (T-FLOAT), $\vdash f : \text{float}$.
- Case (T-TIMES): The proof is identical to Case (T-PLUS), replacing $+$ with $*$ and invoking (TIMES-1), (TIMES-2), (TIMES-INT), and (TIMES-FLOAT).

② The RPN language has the progress property.

Proof. By induction on the derivation of $\vdash e : \tau$.

- Case (T-INT), Case (T-FLOAT): e is an integer z or a float f . It follows that e is a value.
- Case (T-PLUS): $e = e_1 e_2 +$ for some e_1, e_2, τ with $\vdash e_1 : \tau$ and $\vdash e_2 : \tau$.
 - Case e_1 is not a value: By IH, $e_1 \rightarrow e'_1$. By (PLUS-1), $e \rightarrow e'_1 e_2 +$.
 - Case e_1 is a value, but e_2 is not a value: By IH, $e_2 \rightarrow e'_2$. By (PLUS-2), $e \rightarrow e_1 e'_2 +$.
 - Case e_1 and e_2 are both values: Because there is no (T-STRING) introduction rule, the type τ must be either int or float .
 - If $\tau = \text{int}$, e_1 and e_2 must be integers z_1, z_2 derived by (T-INT). By (PLUS-INT), $e \rightarrow z$.
 - If $\tau = \text{float}$, e_1 and e_2 must be floats f_1, f_2 derived by (T-FLOAT). By (PLUS-FLOAT), $e \rightarrow f$.
- Case (T-TIMES): The proof is identical to Case (T-PLUS), replacing $+$ with $*$ and applying the corresponding (TIMES) semantic rules.

Since we have proven progress and preservation, we can conclude that the language is type-safe.

10. 手搓 Type System 模板

This question is about the toy language AP, whose syntax and semantics are shown below. The metavariable z ranges over the set of integers $\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. All operators are left-associative.

$e \in (\text{expr}) ::= z \mid e_1 (\text{op}) e_2 \quad (\text{op}) ::= + \mid - \mid * \mid /$

AP Operator Precedence Highest precedence: $*$ Middle precedence: $+$, $-$ Lowest precedence: $/$

The set of values in AP are given below: $v ::= z \mid v_1, v_2$

AP Small-Step Operational Semantics

$$(Op-1) \frac{e_1 \rightarrow e'_1}{e_1 (\text{op}) e_2 \rightarrow e'_1 (\text{op}) e_2} \quad (Op-2) \frac{e \rightarrow e'}{v (\text{op}) e \rightarrow v (\text{op}) e'}$$

$$(Op-Pair) (v_1, v_2) (\text{op}) (v_3, v_4) \rightarrow (v_1 (\text{op}) v_3), (v_2 (\text{op}) v_4) \text{ for } (\text{op}) \neq /$$

$$(Plus) z_1 + z_2 \rightarrow z_3 \text{ if } z_3 = z_1 + z_2$$

$$(Minus) z_1 - z_2 \rightarrow z_3 \text{ if } z_3 = z_1 - z_2$$

$$(Times) z_1 * z_2 \rightarrow z_3 \text{ if } z_3 = z_1 * z_2$$

Charlie has proposed adding a type system to the AP language. His proposed type system makes AP a *untyped* language – all expressions in the language have a single type **all**, and contains only the inference rule shown below. $\Gamma \vdash e : \text{all}$

(a) (10 marks) Give an example demonstrating that the typed version of AP using Charlie's inference rule is not type safe.

(b) (25 marks) Design a new type system for AP that meets the below requirements: It makes AP type-safe; It is such that any AP expression e that evaluates to a value in zero or more steps of the semantics is well-typed in the type system.

(c) (35 marks) Prove that your new type system makes AP type-safe.

Solution

(a) The type system is not type-safe because it violates the **Progress** property. Under Charlie's rule, the expression $1 + (2, 3)$ is well-typed ($\Gamma \vdash 1 + (2, 3) : \text{all}$). However, it is neither a value nor can it take a step (it gets "stuck", since neither (Plus) nor (Op-Pair) can apply to an integer and a pair operand).

(b) We introduce two types: integers and pairs.

Types: $T ::= \text{Int} \mid T_1 \times T_2$

$$\text{Typing Rules: (T-Int)} \frac{}{\Gamma \vdash \text{int} : \text{Int}} \quad (\text{T-Comma}) \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : T_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : T_2}{\Gamma \vdash e_1, e_2 : T_1 \times T_2}$$

$$(\text{T-Op}) \frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : T \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : T}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 (\text{op}) e_2 : T} \text{ for } (\text{op}) \in \{+, -, *\}$$

Note: The (T-Op) rule ensures that arithmetic operations only occur between structures of the exact same shape.

(c) To prove type safety, we prove Progress and Preservation.

1. Progress: If $\emptyset \vdash e : T$, then e is a value or $e \rightarrow e'$.

By structural induction on the derivation of $\emptyset \vdash e : T$:

- Case (T-Int): $e = z$, which is already a value.
- Case (T-Comma): $e = e_1, e_2$. By IH, e_1 and e_2 are values or can step. If e_1 steps, e steps via (Op-1). If $e_1 = v_1$ and e_2 steps via (Op-2). If both are values (v_1, v_2), e is a value.
- Case (T-Op): $e = e_1 (\text{op}) e_2$ with $(\text{op}) \in \{+, -, *\}$. By IH, e_1, e_2 are values or step. If either steps, e steps via (Op-1) or (Op-2). If both are values ($e_1 = v_1, e_2 = v_2$), since they share the same type T , their shapes must match (Canonical Forms):
 - If $T = \text{Int}$, $v_1 = z_1$ and $v_2 = z_2$. It can step via (Plus), (Minus), or (Times).
 - If $T = T_1 \times T_2$, $v_1 = (v_{1a}, v_{1b})$ and $v_2 = (v_{2a}, v_{2b})$. It can step via (Op-Pair).

2. Preservation: If $\emptyset \vdash e : T$ and $e \rightarrow e'$, then $\emptyset \vdash e' : T$.

By induction on the derivation of $e \rightarrow e'$:

- Case (Op-1) & (Op-2): $e_1 (\text{op}) e_2 \rightarrow e'_1 (\text{op}) e_2$ (or vice versa). By IH, the stepped subexpression retains its type. Reapplying the respective typing rule yields the original type T .
- Case (Plus)/(Minus)/(Times): $z_1 (\text{op}) z_2 \rightarrow z_3$. The original expression has type Int via (T-Op). The result z_3 has type Int via (T-Int). Preserved.
- Case (Op-Pair): $(v_1, v_2) (\text{op}) (v_3, v_4) \rightarrow (v_1 (\text{op}) v_3), (v_2 (\text{op}) v_4)$.
 - From (T-Op), we know $\vdash (v_1, v_2) : T$ and $\vdash (v_3, v_4) : T$.
 - By (T-Comma) inversion, $T = T_1 \times T_2$, where $\vdash v_1 : T_1, \vdash v_2 : T_2, \vdash v_3 : T_1$, and $\vdash v_4 : T_2$.
 - Using (T-Op), we get $\vdash (v_1 (\text{op}) v_3) : T_1$ and $\vdash (v_2 (\text{op}) v_4) : T_2$.
 - Using (T-Comma) again, we combine them: $\vdash (v_1 (\text{op}) v_3), (v_2 (\text{op}) v_4) : T_1 \times T_2$. The type T is preserved.